Thavan

[Spl/MAT/F-5/E]

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/ 1670 /2016
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,
Free Press Journal Marg,
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date :

M.A. No. 197/2016 IN O.A. No. 810/2015.
(Sub:-Service Record)

1 The Commissioner of Police,
Office of Commissioner of Police,
Brihanmumbai, Mumbai-01.
(Ori. Resp. No.2)

2 The State of Maharashtra,
through Secretary, Home Dept.,
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
(Ori. Resp. No.1)

3 Joint Commissioner of Police,
Office of Commissioner of Police,
Brihanmumbai, Mumbai-01.
(Ori. Resp. No.3)

...APPLICANT/S (Ori. Resp.)

VERSUS

1 Shri Pramod D. Chavan,
R/at. 61, Transit Camp, Khot Galli, Gopi Tank Road, Mahim,
Mumbai-16.

.....RESPONDENT/S (Ori. Appli.)

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the **04th** day of **May, 2016** has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE : Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, P.O. for the Applicants (Ori. Resp.).
Shri M.D. Lonkar, Advocate for the Respondent.(Ori. Appli.)

CORAM : **HON'BLE SHRI R.B. MALIK, MEMBER (J).**

DATE : **04.05.2016.**

ORDER : Order Copy Enclosed/ Order Copy Over Leaf.

7/5/2016

Research Officer,
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,
Mumbai.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

M.A.197/2016 in O.A.810/15

The State of Mah. & ors. ... **Applicants (Ori. Respondents)**

Vs.

Shri P.D. Chavan ... **Respondent (Ori. Applicant)**

The learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad is being instructed by Ms. Pushpa N. Ugale, Law Officer, C.P., Mumbai and Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant).

This application seeks extension of time for compliance with my order dated 1.12.2015 for which four months time was given. As a matter of fact, any genuine application for extension of time ought to have been filed before 31st March, 2016 because that was the day on which the time appointed by me expired. This MA was lodged on 25th April, 2016. This quite clearly suggests in my view a lack of sincerity in pursuing the matter which is adequately corroborated by what is Exh. 'R-JJ' which according to the present Applicants being the original Respondents shows the progress made in the matter. I have to strive hard to keep myself from employing strong expression as far as that, "progress report" is concerned. Having said that, I do not think any extension of time needs to be granted at all. It is, however, made clear that the time upto today i.e. 5th May, 2016 should be taken to have been included in the order on the OA and if the compliance is not made by today, then the consequences would follow. This MA is, therefore, dismissed with no order as to costs.

(R.B. Malik) 04.05.16
Member (J)
04.05.2016

(skw)

DATE: 4/5/16
CORAM:
~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman)~~
Hon'ble Shri.R. B. MALIK (Member)
APPEARANCE:
~~Shri/Smt.~~ : K.S. Gaikwad P.O.
~~Advocate~~ for the Applicant
Shri ~~Smt.~~ M.D. Lonkar ~~C.P.O./P.O.~~ Adv.
for the Respondents
M.A. is dismissed

TRUE COPY

Asstt. Registrar / Research Officers
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Mumbai